

## FROM THE VIRTUAL DESK OF THE OUVEBBE REBBE

**RAV DANIEL MANN** 

## **Taking Over** as **Chazan** after **Yishtabach**

**Question:** I was supposed to take over as *chazan* at *Yishtabach*, but I absentmindedly said *Yishtabach* quietly as the previous *chazan* was finishing *Az Yashir*. I quickly asked him to say *Yishtabach* and *Chatzi Kaddish*, after which I took over. Was this appropriate?

**Answer:** There were a few potential options to consider (besides telling your friend to continue), which we will evaluate and compare.

Your apparent assumption that Yishtabach leads straight into Kaddish has some basis. The Shulchan Aruch (Orach Chayim 53:1) instructs the chazan to stand by the amud before Yishtabach so he can go straight into Kaddish (see Mishna Berura 53:1). However, the connection is more between P'sukei D'zimra (which Yishtabach concludes) and Kaddish than Yishtabach itself, as the following *halacha* illustrates. When there is an acute need to speak in the midst of Shacharit, which is permitted between Yishtabach and *Kaddish*, it is necessary to recite a few *p'sukim* of P'sukei D'zimra to justify the upcoming Kaddish (Rama, OC 54:3). Although the break was long enough to divorce that which preceded the break from *Kaddish*, it is permitted, necessary, and sufficient to say some *p'sukim* and not to repeat *Yishtabach*.

Even to the extent that there is some importance to connecting specifically *Yishtabach* to *Kaddish*, the important thing is probably the *tzibbur*'s connection, irrespective of this *chazan*'s recitation. We see this, to a great extent, when a new *chazan* starting at *Ashrei* recites *Kaddish* (*Titkabel*) on a different *chazan*'s *chazarat hashatz* (see Divrei Sofrim, Yoreh Deah 376:103). There was even a *minhag*, cited and approved of by the Rav Pe'alim (II, OC 14), that after the *chazan* finishes *Yishtabach*, mourners (even one who did not say *Yishtabach*) recite *Chatzi Kaddish*.

The Pri Megadim (EA 52:1) posits that, classically, a chazan recites out loud all of Yishtabach, which enables people to be yotzei with him. The Chelek Levi (OC 31) says that our chazanim, who start at "Berachot v'hoda'ot ...," do not serve as full chazanim with all their *halachot*. One application of this distinction relates to the *halacha* that when a chazan is replaced in the middle of tefilla, the new chazan must go back to the beginning of the unit (Shulchan Aruch, OC 126:2). In theory this applies to the *berachot* of *Kri'at* Shema, but the Mishna Berura (59:29) points out that nowadays when everyone davens for themselves, the chazan functions more as a pace setter than a real *chazan* and he does not need to go back. So too here, we do

The Orthodox Union - via its website - fields questions of all types in areas of kashrut, Jewish law and values. Some of them are answered by Eretz Hemdah, the Institute for Advanced Jewish Studies, Jerusalem, headed by Rav Yosef Carmel and Rav Moshe Ehrenreich, founded by HaRav Shaul Yisraeli zt"l, to prepare rabbanim and dayanim to serve the National Religious community in Israel and abroad. Ask the Rabbi is a joint venture of the OU, Yerushalayim Network, Eretz Hemdah... and OU Israel's Torah Tidbits.



not use a halachic *chazan* for *Yishtabach*. For all of these reasons, you could have and should have either started with *Kaddish* without ending off *Yishtabach* again or had your friend finish *Yishtabach* and you recite *Kaddish*. (The first way would have made it easier to avoid speaking to explain yourself, at a time when speaking is permitted only for special needs.)

Let us now analyze what you apparently assumed, i.e., that making a switch between *Kaddish* and *Barchu* is better because they are not as connected as *Yishtabach* and *Kaddish*. We saw that *Kaddish* relates to *P'sukei D'zimra*. In contrast, we repeat *Barchu* for those who missed even when not preceded by *Kaddish*. On the other hand, *Kaddish* and *Barchu* are quite linked. Classically, *Kaddish* goes with *Barchu* (see Shulchan Aruch, OC 69:1). Also we prefer to speak when critical between *Yishtabach* and *Kaddish* rather than between *Kaddish* and *Barchu* (Rama, OC 54:3; see the hesitation on the matter

in Darchei Moshe, OC 54:1). In short, it was unnecessary and slightly unfortunate to do the switch after *Kaddish*, but you did not ruin anything.

You were right not to wait until after *Barchu*. The Beit Yosef and Darchei Moshe (to OC 69) disagree to what extent *Barchu* with its response is self-standing. Although it is not unanimous (see Sdei Chemed, vol. VII, p. 337), there is reason to look at *Barchu* as the beginning of *Yotzer Ohr*, making it a less logical time to switch. However, due to the *chazan*'s limited functionality at these points, this too would not have ruined anything.

## Having a dispute?



For a Din Torah in English or Hebrew contact 'Eretz Hemdah - Gazit' Rabbinical Court: 077-215-8-215 • fax: (02) 537-9626 beitdin@eretzhemdah.org

